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Abstract
To assess the contribution of accumulated winter precipitation and glacial meltwater to the recharge of deep ground water flow systems in fracture crystalline rocks, measurements of environmental isotope ratios, hydrochemical composition, and in situ parameters of ground water were performed in a deep tunnel. The measurements demonstrate the significance of these ground water recharge components for deep ground water flow systems in fractured granites of a high alpine catchment in the Central Alps, Switzerland. Hydrochemical and in situ parameters, as well as δ¹⁸O in ground water samples collected in the tunnel, show only small temporal variations. The precipitation record of δ¹⁸O shows seasonal variations of ~14‰ and a decrease of 0.23‰ ± 0.03‰ per 100 m elevation gain. δ²H and δ¹⁸O in precipitation are well correlated and plot close to the meteoric water line, as well as δ²H and δ¹⁸O in ground water samples, reflecting the meteoric origin of the latter. The depletion of ¹⁸O in ground water compared to ¹⁸O content in precipitation during the ground water recharge period indicates significant contributions from accumulated depleted winter precipitation to ground water recharge. The hydrochemical composition of the encountered ground water, Na-Ca-HCO₃-SO₄(-F), reflects an evolution of the ground water along the flowpath through the granite body. Observed tritium concentrations in ground water range from 2.6 to 16.6 TU, with the lowest values associated with a local negative temperature anomaly and anomalous depleted ¹⁸O in ground water. This demonstrates the effect of local ground water recharge from meltwater of submodern glacial ice. Such localized recharge from glaciated areas occurs along preferential flowpaths within the granite body that are mainly controlled by observed hydraulic active shear fractures and cataclastic faults.

Introduction
High alpine catchments in heterogeneous fractured crystalline rocks present a great challenge when assessing the large-scale ground water flow systems within these formations. Regional flow systems in mountainous terrain have been theoretically addressed by Tóth (1963, 1984), Forster and Smith (1988a, 1988b), and Zijl (1999), while the impact of fault zones on such systems was studied by López and Smith (1995). Among others, studies in crystalline massifs of Switzerland and France show the influence of the degree of fracturations and their orientation on preferential flowpaths on the massif scale (Lhomme et al. 1996; Pistre 1993). Crucial factors for understanding the nature of the flow systems are the analysis of the origin of encountered ground water and especially the recharge conditions in these topographically complex terrains. Systematic studies of the chemical composition of ground water encountered in crystalline formations of the Swiss Alps show how these parameters can assist in delineating the origin of the encountered waters (Dubois 1993). Recharge conditions in these high mountainous areas are strongly influenced by seasonal variations (Kattelmann and Elder 1991). Accumulated winter precipitation in the snowpack and meltwater from glaciated areas both contribute to ground water recharge (Martinec et al. 1982; Forster and Smith 1988a). The influence of the surface hydrology and, in particular, that of snowmelt and glaciation on shallow ground water systems has been investigated and a characteristic signature of this recharge source was shown in terms of environmental isotope content and
hydrodynamic response (Abbott et al. 2000; Flerchinger et al. 1992; Ward et al. 1999). However, these investigations are mainly restricted to shallow ground water systems in the decompressed zone of the basement rock (Cruchet 1985) with near surface weathering and stress relief.

To investigate the effects of the specific recharge conditions in high alpine regions on the deeper flow systems within fractured crystalline rocks, a high relief catchment (total area ~40 km²) in the western Gotthard Massif was chosen for this study. Results from a hydrological model (PREVAV-ETH) applied to the research area provide estimates of spatially and temporally distributed ground water recharge rates (Vitvar and Gurtz 1999), indicating high recharge rates in the glaciated areas during ablation periods. Even though only a small percentage (~14.6%) of the total catchment is glaciated, significant effects on the ground water flow regime are anticipated, particularly regarding the flow to a deep and unlined tunnel (Bedretto Tunnel), which partly passes beneath the research area and its glaciated regions. This tunnel was used for direct subsurface observations and monitoring of ground water flow and sampling. Analysis of the hydrochemical composition, as well as the environmental isotope content, was used to establish the relationship between surface waters, spring discharges, and deeper ground water. Interpretation of the data was furthermore based on the analysis of the structural anisotropy and heterogeneity of the host rock due to fracturation and faulting.

**Geological Setting**

The research area is situated in the western Gotthard Massif of the Swiss Alps. Geologically, it consists mainly of the late Hercynian Rotondo Granite, ~220 Ma (Jäger and Niggli 1964) and orthogneiss of early Palaeozoic age, ~420 Ma (Arnold 1970). Topographic elevation of the granite body ranges from 1800 to 3200 m a.s.l. (Figure 1).

On the northern margin of the study area, the Furka Basetunnel passes through the granite body at an elevation of ~1490 m a.s.l. An abandoned and unlined support segment to this basetunnel, the Bedretto Tunnel is furthermore passing through the granite body in a northwest-southeast direction. Due to the partial collapse of the tunnel, only the northern ~1.5 km are still accessible. Figure 1 shows that this latter tunnel segment is also passing beneath glaciated areas of the study domain. It offers the opportunity for direct subsurface observations, and was used for sampling of ground water, monitoring of discharges, and in situ parameters. The granite body shows varying degrees of fracturation and faulting throughout the research domain, changing both in lateral and vertical directions. Data on fracture orientation and fracture frequency were gathered by means of scanline surveys (Priest 1993) on surface outcrops and along the unlined tunnel profile of the Bedretto Tunnel. From this data, four major fracture sets can be deduced (Table 1), where, especially, sets 1 and 2 are dominant at the terrain surface. The northwest-southeast striking fracture sets (sets 3 and 4) are more abundant along the tunnel profile and are believed to be influenced by the tunnel construction, i.e., induced or reactivated by local stress amplifications.

In addition to these steeply dipping sets, further shallow dipping fractures can be identified at the terrain surface. These latter fractures generally strike subparallel to the valleys with dip directions toward the valley bottom, most probably representing quaternary stress release joints. Occasionally, concentrical jointing can also be observed within the granite at the terrain surface. In addition to the fracturation, steeply dipping fault zones can be identified as important larger features, both at the surface and along the tunnel profile. They are mostly orientated subparallel or at acute angles to the general trend of the alpine structures of the Gotthard Massif (east northeast–west southwest). One of the major fault zones intersecting the Bedretto Tunnel is indicated in Figure 1. Both preferred orientations of fracturation (strike east northeast–west southwest) and, on a larger scale, the orientation of fault zones cause a significant structural anisotropy within the granite body.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Set Number</th>
<th>Mean Orientation (strike/dip)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{surface}$ (m$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{tunnel}$ (m$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>049/75 SE</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>080/83 SE</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>140/86 SW</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>170/79 SW</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1. Enlarged plan view of the research area. Indicated are the projected tunnel traces together with the location of the subsurface observation points, geological boundaries, and the trace of the major fault zone intersecting the Bedretto Tunnel. Locations of spring discharges and glaciated areas are also marked.
Hydrochemistry

Samples of the encountered ground water were collected from spring discharges at the terrain surface of the granite body, as well as from inflows to the Bedretto Tunnel. Their major ionic composition was analyzed by ion-exchange liquid chromatography, while parameters such as pH, temperature, conductivity, alkalinity, and oxygen content were measured in situ during sampling with electronic probes or field titration, respectively. Saturation indices (SI = \log \text{IAP/K}_P) for several minerals where calculated with WATEQ4F (Ball and Nordstrom1992).

Spring Discharges

Few spring discharges from the granite body can be identified in the research area. They are mostly associated with zones of denser fracturation with northeast-southwest striking, steeply dipping fractures (Figure 1). Table 2 gives an overview of the average in situ parameters measured at these spring discharges during three successive summer field campaigns (1997–1999). In terms of decreasing mEq% of cations and anions, respectively, the encountered waters are characterized as dilute, slightly alkaline Ca-Na-HCO₃-(SO₄) waters. Their mean Ca/Na ratio, deduced from mEq/L concentrations, lies at 2.58 (\sigma = 0.9). All encountered waters are undersaturated with regard to calcium-bearing minerals such as calcite or sulfate minerals such as gypsum or anhydrite (mean value for SI_{Calcite} = 3.417, \sigma = 0.122; SI_{Gypsum} = -4.793, \sigma = 0.151; SI_{Anhydrite} = -4.541, \sigma = 0.151). Spring discharge from the northern orthogneiss shows a different hydrochemical composition and can be characterized as Ca-HCO₃-(SO₄) waters. Their mean Ca/Na ratio, deduced from mEq/L concentrations, lies at 9.2 (\sigma = 0.276; SI_{Calcite} = -1.756, \sigma = 0.276; SI_{Gypsum} = -3.691, \sigma = 0.046; SI_{Anhydrite} = -3.948, \sigma = 0.045).

Tunnel Inflows

Along the ~1.5 km accessible section of the unlined Bedretto Tunnel, 11 tunnel inflows were monitored and sampled (Figure 1). Hydrochemical sampling was carried out from September 1998 until November 1999 (15 months) on a monthly or bimonthly basis, while in situ parameters were measured from September 1998 until August 2000. Ground water samples were collected directly at the rockface from discrete features such as fractures and fault zones. Measurements of discharge rates at the individual sampling locations were carried out manually with calibrated vessels. For measurements of integral inflow rates along particular tunnel sections, depth-integrated flow velocity measurements with an anemometer were carried out along cross sections in the basal drain of the tunnel, which cumulatively captures the tunnel inflows. Discharge was then calculated according to ISO748:1997(E) (ISO 1997). At the specific observation points S1 and S2 (Figure 1), triangular-notch thin-plate weirs, in accordance with ISO14383/1:1980(E) (ISO 1980), equipped with float level gauges, were installed for continuous measurement of the collected discharge at these locations. Measurements were recorded from January 1999 until August 2000. However, the records prior to mid-June 1999 were partly obscured by technical problems and damages caused by rock bursts in the gallery. Discharge rates were calculated from the records applying the Kindsvater-Shen formula (ISO 1980).

Similar to the surface observations, inflows are mainly associated with northeast-southwest striking fractures and/or fault zones. This indicates that the observed dominant fracture orientations not only cause a strong structural anisotropy within the granite body, but are furthermore causing a hydraulic anisotropy and heterogeneity favoring preferential flow in the plane of these features. The observation points T9 and S1 are associated with a major steeply dipping fault zone, striking ~75° (Figure 1). This ~120 m wide zone consists of several discrete cataclastic faults, partly with clay mineral infilling (gouge), and neighboring zones of dense fracturation. Along this zone, the most significant inflows to the tunnel occur. Discrete inflows in this zone are abundant, but often obscured by tunnel support systems.

The discharge rates at the individual sampling locations along the tunnel profile range from drop-inflows with mean rates of 0.56 to 0.85 L/min to more significant inflows with mean discharge rates up to 33.8 L/min. Even though small variations from the mean value of discharge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Q (L/min)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>(\kappa) ((\mu)s/cm)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>TDS (meq/L)</th>
<th>(O_2) (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.11)</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
<td>(1.4)</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
<td>(0.07)</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.22)</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>(1.4)</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
<td>(0.07)</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
<td>(1.6)</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>(0.10)</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.07)</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
<td>(1.6)</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>(0.12)</td>
<td>(0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.23)</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>(0.10)</td>
<td>(0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.10)</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>(1.4)</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>(0.05)</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>104.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.85)</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>(1.7)</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>(0.14)</td>
<td>(0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.65)</td>
<td>(0.27)</td>
<td>(1.9)</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>(0.12)</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T11</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>115.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.27)</td>
<td>(0.05)</td>
<td>(1.7)</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>(0.14)</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.47)</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>(2.2)</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>(0.10)</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.91)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(1.4)</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>(0.10)</td>
<td>(0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q (g₈)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>(1.4)</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
<td>(0.07)</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean in situ parameters (discharge Q, temperature, conductivity \(\kappa\), pH, total dissolved solids [TDS] and dissolved oxygen [\(O_2\)]) at individual sampling locations along the tunnel, as well as mean in situ parameters for spring discharges from the Rotondo Granite (g₈) and the neighboring orthogneiss (G) (Figure 1). Standard deviation in parentheses as indicator for variability over time.
Total discharge along the whole ~1.5 km tunnel section amounts to a mean value of 890 L/min. The variations over time around this average value are again small ($\sigma = 18$ L/min) and are within the range of the potential measurement error ($\pm 24$ L/min).

Table 2 gives an overview of the manually measured in situ parameters for the individual observation points along the Bedretto Tunnel. The temperature measurements show only little variation over time at the individual sampling locations and along the tunnel profile, except for a significant negative temperature anomaly at locations T9 and, to a lesser degree, S1. Temporal variability at T9 is the highest ($\sigma = 0.27^\circ$C). These two sampling locations are associated with the large fault zone encountered along the gallery (Figure 1), where ground water inflow to the tunnel occurs at high discharge rates (along the whole fault zone section ~450 L/min). Figure 4 illustrates this anomaly below the glaciated area and indicates the potential contribution of cold glacial waters to the ground water recharge.

The hydrochemical composition of the ground water encountered along the tunnel profile can mainly be described as dilute, moderately alkaline (pH 8.9 to 9.3) (Table 2) Na-Ca-HCO$_3$-SO$_4$(-F) waters with a mean Ca/Na ratio of 0.64 ($\sigma = 0.21$, deduced from mEq/L concentrations).

Saturation indices show undersaturation with regard to calcite or sulfate minerals as well as for fluorite for all samples taken from the tunnel inflows (mean value for $\text{SI}_{\text{Calcite}} = -0.184$, $\sigma = 0.051$; $\text{SI}_{\text{Gypsum}} = -3.284$, $\sigma = 0.159$; $\text{SI}_{\text{Anhydrite}} = -3.535$, $\sigma = 0.156$; $\text{SI}_{\text{Fluorite}} = -0.729$, $\sigma = 0.205$). These waters have most probably evolved from the ground water composition as measured at the surface discharges mainly through the process of feldspar (plagioclase) hydrolysis along the flowpaths through the granite body. Petrographic studies of the Rotondo Granite (Hafner 1958) state a composition of the rock matrix of 25% to 35% quartz, 20% to 40% potassium feldspar, 20% to 35% albite, 2% to 7% anorthite, and 5% to 10% biotite with minor amounts of garnet, chlorite, epidote, and apatite. Local significant deposits of pyrite have been reported during the tunnel construction. During silicate weathering, sodium is released from the albite-rich granite. This process results in an alkalinity increase and calcium is most probably lost to the solid phase by ion-exchange processes on clay minerals contained in fillings and linings of fractures and/or fault zones. Precipitation of calcite is unlikely to occur as both spring samples and tunnel inflows are undersaturated with respect to calcite.

At the northern end of the Bedretto Tunnel, Ca-Na-HCO$_3$-SO$_4$(-F) waters with a mean Ca/Na ratio of 1.19 ($\sigma = 0.07$) are encountered. Several factors contribute to this changed Ca/Na ratio. Hafner (1958) reports a decreasing albite content within the granite composition toward the margins of the intrusive body. Therefore, these waters close to the northern boundary of the granite body may reflect this changing geochemical composition of the host rock. Additionally, the degree of fracturation and especially the number of large fault zones with significant clay infilling (gouge) is smaller in this part of the tunnel. Less abundance of these features would imply less potential for ion-exchange with clay minerals to remove calcium from
solution. Variations in the relative abundance of calcite within the granite body may also influence the range of observed Ca/Na ratio. Finally, influences from potentially calcium-richer ground waters originating in the neighboring orthogneiss and, in particular, in the amphibolite wedges within the gneiss (Figure 1) are other potential calcium sources as indicated by the analysis of spring discharges. Water from the amphibolite wedges could not be sampled; however, studies in the central Swiss Alps show that similar calcium-rich compositions can be expected to originate from the amphibolites (Marechal 1998). Because of the observed strong anisotropy of the gneiss, with steeply dipping schistosities, mainly aligned subparallel to the main orientation of the Gotthard Massif (east northeast–west southwest), a pronounced hydraulic anisotropy within these rocks seems plausible. This would imply preferential flowpaths along the strike of the schistosity planes and, together with the preferred orientation of observed hydraulic active fractures and fault zones within the granite body (east northeast–west southwest), potential contribution from these neighboring formations would most probably originate in the northeast direction of the granite body (Leckihorn area) (Figure 1).

Environmental Isotopes

To investigate potential sources of ground water recharge and flowpaths, as well as the age of the encountered waters, the contents in environmental isotopes of water samples from tunnel inflows were measured, i.e., contents of \(^18\)O, \(^2\)H, and tritium (\(^3\)H). Additionally, \(^18\)O and \(^2\)H content in precipitation were analyzed. \(^18\)O and \(^2\)H content, the latter after zinc reduction to \(\text{H}_2\), in ground water and precipitation samples were determined by isotope ratio mass spectrometry. Tritium values of ground water samples were measured by means of an enriched liquid scintillation counter. Isotope composition is reported as d value of the heavy isotope = \((R_{\text{Sample}}/R_{\text{Standard}} - 1) \times 1000\) in units of ‰, where \(R = \) (heavy isotope/light isotope). Analytical errors in the previous \(\delta^{18}\)O and \(\delta^2\)H determination are ± 0.1‰. Tritium determinations are associated with an error of ± 0.8 TU (all expressed as 2σ).

The conventional standard for \(\delta^2\)H and \(\delta^{18}\)O values is Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water. Tritium values (\(^3\)H) are reported as absolute concentrations in TU (1 TU corresponds to 1 tritium atom per \(10^{18}\) hydrogen atoms or 0.118 Bq/L).

All isotope measurements were carried out at the GSF Institute of Hydrology in Neuherberg, Germany.

Tritium

For the analysis of the tritium content of the tunnel inflows, two sampling series were carried out and analyzed (December 1998 and March 1999). Differences in the tritium content at the individual sampling points between the two series do not exceed the accuracy of the measurement of ± 0.8 TU. The mean tritium concentrations observed at the sampling points along the tunnel profile (Figure 4) show values of 7.1 to 15.1 TU at the northwestern end of the tunnel. Values of 2.8 to 3.5 TU are observed toward the southeastern end of the profile below the glacier. At the southeastern end, the mean tritium content lies at 10.8 TU.

Qualitatively, for continental regions, tritium values of 5 to 15 TU are attributed to recent recharge (< 5 to 10 yr) while tritium contents of 0.8 to ~4 TU would represent a mixture between submodern (recharged prior to 1952) and recent recharge (Clark and Fritz 1997). Two possible scenarios might elucidate the presence of the low tritium values and the origin of the submodern component. The sampling sites showing these low tritium concentrations (T9, T11, and S1) are situated below the valley bottom and might be influenced by upwelling of old ground water from within the granite body. A plot of tritium content vs. sodium content (Figure 5) shows the negative correlation of tritium values with sodium content. This correlation is typically observed with the presence of older ground water components characterized by higher mineralization, i.e., sodium content. However, the sodium concentration of the low tritium samples is still small (< 0.7 meq/L).
and S1. On a plan view projection (Figure 1), it can be seen that a large fault zone associated with the observation points T9 and S1. The measured low tritium content at these points is likely attributed to ground water recharge, which can explain the observed data with lower values down to 2.8 TU, if the residence time of this water is assumed to exceed the half-life cycle of tritium (12.43 yr).

The tritium values of 7.1 to 15.1 TU are in the range of the tritium concentrations in recent winter precipitation. For comparison, the tritium concentrations from recent summer precipitation are generally higher and range from 14.7 to 25.3 TU (observations during the 1990s at meteorological stations Guttannen, Grimsel, and Meiringen in the northern vicinity of the study area). Thus, the observed tritium contents reflect the selectivity (Gat 1981) of ground water recharge mainly from recent accumulated winter precipitation. Snow cover in the study area is generally substantial and apart from the high availability of snow meltwater during the melting period; Rodhe (1998) describes the meltwater input to ground water recharge as very efficient. The snow temperature cannot exceed 0°C even if the atmosphere may be warm (and moist). Warm air will therefore give a small or negative vapor pressure difference between snow and the atmosphere and, thus, a small or negative evaporation (i.e., condensation). Furthermore, evaporation from water under the snowpack is negligible due to low temperatures and lack of turbulent exchange with the atmosphere.

The spread of the encountered tritium data can be attributed to varying flow velocities (reflected by the different tritium contents) within separate hydraulic features such as fractures and small-scale faults. In this sense, the ground water system might be viewed as an assemblage of discrete water parcels that move along defined flowpaths (Gat 1981). Similar observations were made by Fontes et al. (1978) in a comprehensive study in the Mont-Blanc Tunnel, where rapid recharge was indicated by the tritium data with flow velocities of ≥150 m/yr.

### $^2$H/$^{18}$O in Precipitation, Glacial Meltwater, and Ground Water

As a further means to evaluate the ground water recharge conditions in the study area, $^2$H and $^{18}$O contents in ground waters samples were analyzed in comparison to $\delta^2$H and $\delta^{18}$O values in precipitation and glacial meltwater. Qualitative observations were reproduced through a simple mathematical transport model using a convolution/lumped-parameter approach (Maloszewski and Zuber 1996, 1998) to investigate recharge conditions and, in particular, the contribution of glacial meltwater to the flow system. In this approach, the observed output function, e.g., $\delta^{18}$O content over time in the ground water, is related to an observed input function, e.g., $\delta^{18}$O content over time in precipitation, by the convolution integral. The type of transport model is chosen by defining a transit time distribution function and

![Figure 5. Plot of tritium vs. sodium content for samples from the Bedretto Tunnel.](image-url)
parameterizing this latter function in order to calibrate the theoretical output of the convolution integral to the observed δ18O record.

2H/18O in Precipitation

Data on the δ18O and d2H content of precipitation were obtained from three meteorological stations in Haslital in the north of the study area (Guttannen, Meiringen, and Grimsel/Hospiz 1970–1999) and from five additional stations in the eastern, western, and southern vicinity of the research area (Gütsch, Andermatt, Oberwald, Binn, and Robiei 1998–1999). These stations were chosen to cover the trajectories of potential precipitation to the study area. From this data, the local meteoric water line for the study area was derived following

\[ \delta^2H = (7.65 \pm 0.05) \times \delta^{18}O + (4.7 \pm 0.77) \quad (1) \]

Figure 6 depicts this local meteoric water line and indicates its relationship to the meteoric water line defined by Craig (1961) as the locus of the isotope composition of worldwide fresh water sources. From the same given dataset, the δ18O altitude (z) relationship was derived as

\[ \delta^{18}O = (-0.0023 \pm 0.0003) \times z - (9.4 \pm 0.5) \quad (2) \]

This calculated δ18O altitude correlation is in good agreement with published data (Pearson et al. 1991) giving a correlation for the northern central Swiss Alps of (Figure 7)

\[ \delta^{18}O = (-0.0021 \pm 0.0006) \times z - (10.6 \pm 0.7) \quad (3) \]

The δ2H altitude (z) relationship was derived as

\[ \delta^2H = (-0.0146 \pm 0.0025) \times z - (71.46 \pm 3.86) \quad (4) \]

The mean annual deuterium excess \( d = \delta^2H - 8 \times \delta^{18}O \) in precipitation at the aforementioned meteorological stations ranges from 8.3‰ at Oberwald in the northern vicinity of the study area to 13.3‰ at Robiei in the south of the study area. Subtle seasonal variations in \( d \) can be observed, with deuterium excess values during the summer of 7.2‰ to 12.1‰ and during winter of 9‰ to 14.2‰, respectively. In the latter monthly maxima, up to 21‰ are observed. A general explanation for increased \( d \) values in winter precipitation lies in the type of precipitation during this period. Solid precipitation such as snow during the wintertime shows higher \( d \) values due to nonequilibrium condensation during the growth of the ice particles (Gat 2000; Jouzel and Merlivat 1984). Additionally, Schotterer et al. (1995) report that winter precipitation in the Alps originating from the Mediterranean Sea often shows increased \( d \) values.

2H/18O in Glacial Meltwater

Glacial meltwater is characterized by a complex signal owing to varying fractions of snow- and icemelt contributing to the overall meltwater composition throughout the melting period. Furthermore, firmification of the accumulated snow cover, percolating meltwater, and liquid precipitation through the glacial body, sublimation and condensation, as well as melting and recrystallization, cause additional fractionations in the δ18O of the ice and snow (Stichler and Schotterer 2000). Unfortunately, in the scope of this study, no sufficient data were available to clearly constrain these relationships. To investigate the significance of the contribution of glacial meltwater to ground water recharge, a strong simplification was made for the purpose of the succeeding mathematical model (Maloszewski and Zuber 1996) of δ18O values.

Glacial meltwater is regarded as a combination of snow- and icemelt. At the onset of the ablation period, the contribution from snowmelt dominates while, at later times, melting of glacial ice becomes increasingly important.
(Malard et al. 1999). As each of these two components can be considered as dominant for an equally long time during the total ablation period, the overall δ18O concentration of glacial meltwater (cβ) was derived from the arithmetic mean of δ18O values in snow and glacial ice, respectively (cβsnow and cβice). From balance equations, Ambach et al. (1971) show that the fraction of ice meltwater in total glacial discharge may even reach up to 60%. The δ18O concentration of the snowmelt (cβsnow) was approximated from the mean annual value of winter precipitation (1970–1999) extrapolated to the mean altitude of the glacier. Values for the concentration in the glacial ice (cβice) were measured in five ice samples taken from the glacier, with δ18O values ranging from –13.38‰ to –13.81‰ and d2H values varying between –88.3‰ and –101.1‰, respectively. These few ice samples cannot be regarded as representative for the heterogeneous and complex signal originating from the glacial ice (Stichler and Schotterer 2000). Rather, it should be considered as an estimate for the potential contribution of glacial ice to the overall glacial melt component. The calculated estimate for the δ18O concentration in the glacial meltwater amounts to cβ = –16.2‰.

δ2H/δ18O in Ground Water

Ground water samples for 2H and 18O determination were collected on a monthly or bimonthly basis for a period of 1 yr (September 1998 until September 1999) along the ~1.5 km accessible section of the unlined Bedretto Tunnel. Eleven locations along the tunnel profile were sampled (Figure 1). The δ18O values observed in the tunnel show only small variations. The meteoric origin of the ground water is shown by its alignment to the global meteoric water line (Figure 6). Figure 4 shows the mean δ18O values measured at the individual sampling points along the tunnel profile. Mean deuterium excess d of the sampled ground water range from 13.3‰ to 14.5‰. Compared to the d values in recent precipitation at the meteorological stations, this may indicate a significant contribution of accumulated winter precipitation with relative higher d values to ground water recharge.

Generally, encountered 18O values seem to reproduce the altitude effect, i.e., increase of overburden implies potentially higher recharge altitudes implying depleted 18O in precipitation. From Equations 2 and 4, estimated mean recharge altitudes for the tunnel inflows range from 2600 to 2800 m a.s.l.

Although Figure 1 shows that a straightforward delineation of potential recharge areas is not simple due to the complex and steep topography, it is anticipated that recharge and flow through the granite body is preferentially associated to the east northeast–west southwest striking discontinuities with a strong vertical component toward the subsurface gallery. This, in turn, implies that when advancing along the tunnel profile in the southeast direction, i.e., from below the northeast-southwest trending ridge toward below the Gerental Valley bottom, the tunnel inflows are recharged from decreasing altitude zones. This trend is then reflected by the subsurface samples.

An intriguing difference from this general trend can be observed at the sampling points T9, T11, and S1. Here, more anomalously negative δ18O values are observed than would otherwise be predicted by the decrease in overburden and, thus, in potential recharge altitude. The δ18O depleted values may be attributed to two factors: (1) the additional component from the glacial meltwater in the ground water recharge via the fault zone to the gallery, as well as an additional altitude effect as indicated by numerical simulations of the ground water flow (Ofterdinger et al. submitted); and (2) the δ18O composition of the sampled ground water in the northern part of the tunnel can be assumed to be derived by recharge from accumulated winter precipitation and actual summer precipitation during the recharge period. The ground water samples taken along the fault zone, however, show the imprint of recharge by these two components, plus the additional component of glacial ice. Even though this additional component is enriched in 18O due to fractionation processes in the transition from snow to ice (Stichler and Schotterer 2000), the resulting δ18O content is still isotopically lighter than actual summer precipitation. This additional glacial component will thus shift the resulting overall δ18O concentration in the ground water recharge from the glaciated areas toward more negative values.

Additionally, a numerical ground water flow model of the study area (Ofterdinger et al. submitted), in which the major fault zone was included with discrete higher conductive elements, shows that recharge via the fault zone to the tunnel occurs mainly along the upper slopes of the glaciated areas between Gerental- and Mutten glacier, plus to the south along the upper region of the north-facing slope of the Rotondo Summit. This higher recharge altitude, compared to the neighboring sample locations in the north of the tunnel, is also contributing to the depleted δ18O values encountered along the fault zone section of the tunnel.

Figure 8 shows the temporal variations in δ18O values for ground water sampled at observation point T9, as well as the mean signal from the remaining observation points. The temporal variations at the individual sampling locations are small (≤ 0.2‰, highest along the fault zone section), indicating a lack of correlation to small-scale temporal variations in δ18O of precipitation.

Lumped-Parameter Model

To analyze the relationship between precipitation input and glacial meltwater in ground water recharge, the observed δ18O values were introduced in a simplified transport model using a convolution/lumped-parameter approach (Maloszewski and Zuber 1996, 1998). Even though the δ18O seasonal variations at the tunnel inflows show only a highly damped response (Figure 8), investigation by means of model calculations seem beneficial to assess the role of precipitation and glacial meltwater in ground water recharge.

In this investigation, we applied two approaches to reproduce the observed δ18O values in ground water samples taken along the major fault zone in the tunnel. First, we introduced the precipitation input into the model to investigate whether observed δ18O values in the tunnel can be reproduced solely by this precipitation-derived input function. In a second approach, we modified the precipitation input function by adding a glacial meltwater component.
The $\delta^{18}O$ input function for precipitation (1970–1999) was derived from the stations Guttannen, Meiringen, and Grimsel (1970–1999), as well as from additionally analyzed data from the stations Gütsch, Andermatt, Oberwald, Binn, and Robiei (1998–1999). The extrapolation to the mean recharge altitude of the massif above the tunnel was carried out following Equation 2 for a series of potential recharge altitudes of the massif above the tunnel, ranging from minimum elevation of 2200 m a.s.l. to maximum elevation of 3000 m a.s.l. The resulting $\delta^{18}O$ signal in precipitation shows large seasonal variations of $\sim-14\%e$ with $\delta^{18}O$ values ranging from $\sim-6\%e$ during summer down to $\sim-20\%e$ during winter months. Finally, weighing of the input function by the mean monthly ground water recharge rates, derived from the hydrological model (Vitvar and Gurtz 1999), was performed.

The applied code (Maloszewski and Zuber 1996) solves the direct problem, calculating the theoretical output concentration to a given input concentration (input function) from the convolution integral for a chosen transit time distribution (parameterized by the mean residence time) under constant flow assumption. The inverse problem is solved by a trial-and-error procedure with the possibility to choose between six model types for the transit time distribution (exponential, piston-flow, linear, dispersion, exponential-piston-flow, and linear-piston-flow). The best fit between the calculated output curve and observation data is judged by an error criterion (standard deviation $\sigma$).

During the modeling process, it could be observed that, regardless of model type and model parameters, the theoretical output curves calculated from the precipitation input function showed enriched $\delta^{18}O$ values compared to the observed data. Figure 8 illustrates this behavior. This observation holds even when the extrapolation of the input function is carried out to the maximum potential recharge altitude (with the potentially maximum depleted $\delta^{18}O$ signal). This implies that the input function derived from precipitation data alone cannot explain the observed $\delta^{18}O$ depleted data. This discrepancy indicates that recharge from a $\delta^{18}O$ depleted source such as accumulated recent winter precipitation and/or glacial meltwater has a significant share in the overall recharge. Two main scenarios need to be considered to address this discrepancy.

First, recent depleted winter precipitation is accumulated and stored in the snow cover during the winter and enters the flow system in large amounts during the melting season in spring and summer, when most of the recharge to the ground water flow system occurs. As weighing of the input function is done by the calibrated recharge rates (Vitvar and Gurtz 1999), this latter observation is included in the analysis. The $\delta^{18}O$ concentrations in the input function and, thus, in recharge, are derived from precipitation. However, this precipitation-derived input function does not properly represent the input to the flow system during the melting period. Second, an additional source of depleted $\delta^{18}O$ values is present in parts of the recharge area in the form of glaciers. This additional source of depleted $\delta^{18}O$ is not accounted for in the precipitation-derived input function.

Considering these scenarios, it seems plausible to modify the input function to accommodate the $\delta^{18}O$ concentrations of the glacial meltwaters. Therefore, in a second approach, we modified the input function to the model by combining the monthly precipitation data with the glacial meltwater component. In the numerical ground water flow model (Ofterdinger et al. submitted), the ground water recharge area to the fault zone section along the tunnel (and thus to sampling point T9) was delineated through particle tracking. As previously mentioned, this recharge area comprises parts of the Geren- and Witenwasserengelacier, as well as parts of the north-facing slope of the Rotondo Summit. The calibrated hydrological model (Vitvar and Gurtz 1999) resolves apart from the monthly ground water recharge rate in this area, as well as the fraction of meltwater and actual precipitation participating in the corresponding ground water recharge. The $\delta^{18}O$ signal of the modified input function was derived by combining the $\delta^{18}O$ signal in

![Figure 8. Observed $\delta^{18}O$ data at station T9 and calculated mean signal of remaining ground water sampling stations (GWmean). Indicated is the output function for lumped-parameter modeling representing the best fit to the observed data when the precipitation-derived input function is modified by an additional meltwater component (line 3). Line 1 illustrates the output function for solely precipitation-derived input function for identical model parameters of the best fit model. Even for potential large residence times (line 2), the output function from the precipitation-derived input function remains enriched compared to the observed data (mrt = mean residence time).](image-url)
precipitation and the δ¹⁸O signal in the meltwater \((c\beta)\) according to their contribution to the monthly ground water recharge.

From the available model types, a best fit in terms of shape of the output function, as well as in error criterion, was achieved by applying the exponential model combined with a piston-flow component (EPM). The applied ratio of total volume to the volume with the exponential distribution of transit times, \(\eta\), was 1.2. The necessity to apply a combined model in order to achieve a good fit as in this case is most probably linked to the nature of the granitic rocks. These provide, due to zones of dense fracturation and faults as well as more intact massive rock, different flow components with different transit time distributions. As the modeled δ¹⁸O signal (sampling location T9) is associated with the major fault zone with dense fracturation, it is not surprising that a combined model leads to the best fit.

The best fit of the EPM model to the observed δ¹⁸O data (Figure 8) yields a mean residence time of ~14 months with an error criterion of \(\sigma = 0.0096\%\). The lack of strong variations in the observed δ¹⁸O signal in the ground water, despite the short residence time, is mainly attributable to the large fraction of glacial meltwater contributing to the ground water recharge (~70% of annual recharge) compared to liquid summer precipitation.

**Discussion and Conclusions**

Several approaches have been shown to give information on the origin of the ground water encountered in the Rotondo Granite and especially on the conditions of recharge, in particular, the influence of the glaciated regions in the study area. While the interpretations of the individual investigated parameters offer potential answers to the previous questions, a more compelling scenario can be deduced by combining the results of the individual investigation.

In general, all investigated parameters show that, at the tunnel elevation, only little temporal variations can be observed. The measured \(\delta^2 \mathrm{H}/\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}\) signal in ground water shows its meteoric origin (Figure 6). Hydrochemical analysis of the tunnel inflows shows that their composition can be explained as an evolution along the flowpath within the granite body. Flow is hereby assumed to be preferentially orientated along the steeply dipping fractures and faults, following an overall east northeast–west southwest strike.

Tritium, temperature, and δ¹⁸O content measured along the tunnel profile (Figure 4) all show anomalous behavior below the glaciated areas. As this section is at the same time situated approximately below the valley bottom, two potential explanations for these observations need to be addressed.

Contribution of old ground water components upwelling below the valley bottom may be associated with low tritium content. This would be in agreement with the observation. The tritium/sodium correlation observed is no compelling indicator for the contribution of older ground water components because sodium concentrations are still very small and the correlation is most probably only a spatial coincidence and no causal relationship. The conductivity measurements and hydrochemical analysis of the ground water give also no evidence of old ground water components with potentially higher mineralization. Furthermore, the negative temperature anomaly is not explained by this scenario.

A second explanation for the observed data would be a significant contribution of cold submodern glacial meltwater from the overlying glaciers with low tritium concentrations and depleted δ¹⁸O values. Model calculations underline the contribution of this δ¹⁸O depleted source to ground water recharge and even though the absolute figures of this model should be treated with care because of the simplified model assumption, the significance of this contribution is demonstrated. The large fault zone associated with the inflows where this anomaly is observed is hereby providing a preferential flowpath. Due to the subvertical east northeast–west southwest strike of this structure, a potentially large glaciated area with topographic elevation up to 3000 m a.s.l. (Figure 1) is tapped. Ground water recharged along the strike of this structure in the glaciated areas is believed to move by topography-driven flow and enhanced by drainage to the subsurface gallery along this preferential flowpath toward the tunnel, whereby preserving the glacial signature.

On the whole, these investigations suggest that the ground water flow system within the Rotondo Granite is recharged by meteoric waters with significant contribution of glacial meltwater, as well as meltwater from accumulated recent winter precipitation. Recharge contributions from the glaciated areas is therefore enhanced by the orientation of the water-conducting fracture sets and fault zones.

Temporal variations in the observed parameters of the ground water are small. Cross flow from neighboring formations is minor and is, if at all present, mainly restricted to the northern boundary of the granite body. The ground water becomes moderately mineralized along the flowpath within the granite, mainly through the process of silicate weathering (plagioclase hydrolysis). Model calculations approximate the mean residence times of the encountered ground water along the fault zone section in the gallery of ~1 to 1.5 yr and underline the significant contribution of glacial meltwater to ground water recharge. Even though the absolute figure of the mean residence time should be regarded as an approximation due to the involved simplifications, it indicates rather rapid recharge from the glaciated areas via the fault zone to the gallery.

In general, the findings of this study indicate that in a high alpine catchment, accumulated winter precipitation and glacial meltwater may contribute significantly to recharge of deep ground water flow systems. Permeable fault zones may therefore yield preferential pathways for rapid recharge from these components to deep ground water flow systems.
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