Extremal metrics on torus and Klein bottle

Mikhail Karpukhin

Moscow State University

Independent University of Moscow

June 6, 2013
Workshop on spectral theory and geometry
Université de Neuchâtel.



Outline

Introduction

Eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Upper bounds Explicit examples Eigenvalues as functionals

Minimal submanifolds of a sphere

Two important theorems

New method

Known examples of extremal metrics

New results



Laplace-Beltrami operator and its eigenvalues

Summary

- ► Let (*M*, *g*) be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension *n*.
- Let us consider the Laplace-Beltrami operator

$$\Delta f = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(\sqrt{|g|} g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} \right).$$

For a fixed manifold M the eigenvalues of Δ

$$0 = \lambda_0(M,g) < \lambda_1(M,g) \leqslant \lambda_2(M,g) \leqslant \lambda_3(M,g) \leqslant \dots$$

are functionals on the space of Riemannian metrics on M.



Laplace-Beltrami operator and its eigenvalues

 In the present talk we discuss the normalized eigenvalue functionals

$$\Lambda_i(M,g) = \lambda_i(M,g) \operatorname{Vol}(M,g)^{\frac{2}{n}}$$

It turns out that the question about the supremum $\sup \Lambda_i(M,g)$ of the functional $\Lambda_i(M,g)$ over the space of Riemannian metrics g on a fixed manifold M is very difficult and only few results are known.

Dimensions greater than 2

- ▶ In 1994 Colbois and Dodziuk proved that for a manifold M of dimension dim $M \ge 3$ the functional $\Lambda_i(M, g)$ is not bounded on the space of Riemannian metrics g on M.
- ▶ Due to this result for the rest of the talk we restrict ourselves to the case dim M = 2.

Upper bounds

 In 1980 it was proved by Yang and Yau that for an orientable surface M of genus γ the following inequality holds,

$$\Lambda_1(M,g) \leqslant 8\pi \left[\frac{\gamma+3}{2}\right].$$

▶ A generalization of this result for an arbitrary Λ_i was found in 1993 by Korevaar. He proved that there exists a constant C such that for any i > 0 and any compact surface M of genus γ the functional $\Lambda_i(M, g)$ is bounded,

$$\Lambda_i(M,g) \leqslant C\left\lceil \frac{\gamma+3}{2} \right\rceil i.$$



Upper bounds

In 1980 it was proved by Yang and Yau that for an orientable surface M of genus γ the following inequality holds,

$$\Lambda_1(M,g) \leqslant 8\pi \left[rac{\gamma+3}{2}
ight].$$

▶ A generalization of this result for an arbitrary Λ_i was found in 1993 by Korevaar. He proved that there exists a constant C such that for any i > 0 and any compact surface M of genus γ the functional $\Lambda_i(M, g)$ is bounded,

$$\Lambda_i(M,g)\leqslant C\left[\frac{\gamma+3}{2}\right]i.$$



Upper bounds

 In 1980 it was proved by Yang and Yau that for an orientable surface M of genus γ the following inequality holds,

$$\Lambda_1(M,g) \leqslant 8\pi \left[rac{\gamma+3}{2}
ight].$$

A generalization of this result for an arbitrary Λ_i was found in 1993 by Korevaar. He proved that there exists a constant C such that for any i > 0 and any compact surface M of genus γ the functional Λ_i(M, g) is bounded,

$$\Lambda_i(M,g)\leqslant C\left\lceil rac{\gamma+3}{2}
ight
ceil i.$$



- ▶ $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{S}^2, g)$. (Hersch '70) sup $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{S}^2, g) = 8\pi$ and the maximum is reached on the canonical metric on \mathbb{S}^2 .
- ▶ $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{R}P^2, g)$. (Li, Yau '82) sup $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{R}P^2, g) = 12\pi$ and the maximum is reached on the canonical metric on $\mathbb{R}P^2$.
- ▶ $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{T}^2, g)$. (Nadirashvili '96) sup $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{T}^2, g) = \frac{8\pi^2}{\sqrt{3}}$ and the maximum is reached on the flat equilateral torus.

- ▶ $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{S}^2, g)$. (Hersch '70) sup $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{S}^2, g) = 8\pi$ and the maximum is reached on the canonical metric on \mathbb{S}^2 .
- ▶ $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{R}P^2, g)$. (Li, Yau '82) sup $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{R}P^2, g) = 12\pi$ and the maximum is reached on the canonical metric on $\mathbb{R}P^2$.
- ▶ $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{T}^2, g)$. (Nadirashvili '96) sup $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{T}^2, g) = \frac{8\pi^2}{\sqrt{3}}$ and the maximum is reached on the flat equilateral torus.

- ▶ $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{S}^2, g)$. (Hersch '70) sup $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{S}^2, g) = 8\pi$ and the maximum is reached on the canonical metric on \mathbb{S}^2 .
- ▶ $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{R}P^2, g)$. (Li, Yau '82) sup $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{R}P^2, g) = 12\pi$ and the maximum is reached on the canonical metric on $\mathbb{R}P^2$.
- ▶ $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{T}^2, g)$. (Nadirashvili '96) sup $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{T}^2, g) = \frac{8\pi^2}{\sqrt{3}}$ and the maximum is reached on the flat equilateral torus.

- ▶ $\Lambda_2(\mathbb{S}^2, g)$. (Nadirashvili '02, Petrides '12) sup $\Lambda_2(\mathbb{S}^2, g) = 16\pi$ and maximum is reached on a singular metric which can be obtained as the metric on the union of two spheres of equal radius with canonical metric glued together.
- ► The result is also known for $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{K}, g)$, but we are going to discuss this after the following section.
- ▶ $\Lambda_1(\Sigma_2, g)$ conjecture by Jacobson, Levitin, Nadirashvili, Nigam, Polterovich.

- ▶ $\Lambda_2(\mathbb{S}^2, g)$. (Nadirashvili '02, Petrides '12) sup $\Lambda_2(\mathbb{S}^2, g) = 16\pi$ and maximum is reached on a singular metric which can be obtained as the metric on the union of two spheres of equal radius with canonical metric glued together.
- ► The result is also known for $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{K}, g)$, but we are going to discuss this after the following section.
- Λ₁(Σ₂, g) conjecture by Jacobson, Levitin, Nadirashvili, Nigam, Polterovich.

- ▶ $\Lambda_2(\mathbb{S}^2, g)$. (Nadirashvili '02, Petrides '12) sup $\Lambda_2(\mathbb{S}^2, g) = 16\pi$ and maximum is reached on a singular metric which can be obtained as the metric on the union of two spheres of equal radius with canonical metric glued together.
- ► The result is also known for $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{K}, g)$, but we are going to discuss this after the following section.
- Λ₁(Σ₂, g) conjecture by Jacobson, Levitin, Nadirashvili, Nigam, Polterovich.

- ▶ The functional $\Lambda_i(M, g)$ depends continuously on the metric g, but this functional is not differentiable.
- ▶ However, it was shown by several authors (Berger, Bando, Urakawa, El Soufi, Ilias) that for analytic deformations g_t the left and right derivatives of the functional $\Lambda_i(M, g_t)$ with respect to t exist.

- ► The functional $\Lambda_i(M, g)$ depends continuously on the metric g, but this functional is not differentiable.
- ▶ However, it was shown by several authors (Berger, Bando, Urakawa, El Soufi, Ilias) that for analytic deformations g_t the left and right derivatives of the functional $\Lambda_i(M, g_t)$ with respect to t exist.

- ► The functional $\Lambda_i(M, g)$ depends continuously on the metric g, but this functional is not differentiable.
- ▶ However, it was shown by several authors (Berger, Bando, Urakawa, El Soufi, Ilias) that for analytic deformations g_t the left and right derivatives of the functional $\Lambda_i(M, g_t)$ with respect to t exist.

- ► This was a motivation for the following definition due to Nadirashvili (1996) and El Soufi and Ilias (2000).
- ▶ **Definition.** A Riemannian metric g on a closed surface M is called extremal metric for the functional $\Lambda_i(M,g)$ if for any analytic deformation g_t such that $g_0 = g$ the following inequality holds,

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \Lambda_i(M, g_t) \right|_{t=0+} imes \frac{d}{dt} \Lambda_i(M, g_t) \left|_{t=0-} \leqslant 0. \right.$$

It follows from the results of El Soifi and Ilias that

- ▶ The only extremal metric for $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{S}^2, g)$ is a canonical one.
- ▶ The same is true for $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{R}P^2, g)$.
- ▶ The only extremal metrics for $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{T}^2, g)$ are metrics on the equilateral torus and the Clifford torus.

It follows from the results of El Soifi and Ilias that

- ▶ The only extremal metric for $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{S}^2, g)$ is a canonical one.
- ▶ The same is true for $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{R}P^2, g)$.
- ▶ The only extremal metrics for $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{T}^2, g)$ are metrics on the equilateral torus and the Clifford torus.

It follows from the results of El Soifi and Ilias that

- ▶ The only extremal metric for $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{S}^2, g)$ is a canonical one.
- ► The same is true for $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{R}P^2, g)$.
- ▶ The only extremal metrics for $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{T}^2, g)$ are metrics on the equilateral torus and the Clifford torus.

It follows from the results of El Soifi and Ilias that

- ▶ The only extremal metric for $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{S}^2, g)$ is a canonical one.
- ► The same is true for $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{R}P^2, g)$.
- ▶ The only extremal metrics for $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{T}^2, g)$ are metrics on the equilateral torus and the Clifford torus.

▶ $\Lambda_1(\mathbb{K},g)$. Jakobson, Nadirashvili and I. Polterovich proved in 2006 that the metric on a Klein bottle realized as the Lawson bipolar surface $\tilde{\tau}_{3,1}$ is extremal. El Soufi, Giacomini and Jazar proved in the same year that this metric is the unique extremal metric. In this case, from the claim that maximal metric is smooth follows $\sup \Lambda_1(\mathbb{K},g) = 12\pi E\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\right)$, where E is a complete elliptic integral of the second kind,

$$E(k) = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \alpha^2}}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}} d\alpha.$$



A classical theorem

- ▶ Let *N* be a *d*-dimensional minimal submanifold of the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Let Δ be the Laplace-Beltrami operator on *N* equipped with the induced metric.
- ▶ **Theorem.** The restrictions $x^1|_N, \ldots, x^{n+1}|_N$ on N of the standard coordinate functions on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} are eigenfunctions of Δ with eigenvalue d.

Let us numerate the eigenvalues of Δ counting them with multiplicities

$$0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leqslant \lambda_2 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \lambda_i \leqslant \ldots$$

- ► The above mentioned theorem implies that there exists at least one index i such that $\lambda_i = d$. Let j denotes the minimal number i such that $\lambda_i = d$.
- ▶ Let us introduce the eigenvalues counting function

$$N(\lambda) = \#\{\lambda_i | \lambda_i < \lambda\}.$$

We see that j = N(d).



Let us numerate the eigenvalues of Δ counting them with multiplicities

$$0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leqslant \lambda_2 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \lambda_i \leqslant \ldots$$

- ► The above mentioned theorem implies that there exists at least one index i such that $\lambda_i = d$. Let j denotes the minimal number i such that $\lambda_i = d$.
- ▶ Let us introduce the eigenvalues counting function

$$N(\lambda) = \#\{\lambda_i | \lambda_i < \lambda\}.$$

We see that j = N(d).



Let us numerate the eigenvalues of △ counting them with multiplicities

$$0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leqslant \lambda_2 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \lambda_i \leqslant \ldots$$

- ► The above mentioned theorem implies that there exists at least one index i such that $\lambda_i = d$. Let j denotes the minimal number i such that $\lambda_i = d$.
- Let us introduce the eigenvalues counting function

$$N(\lambda) = \#\{\lambda_i | \lambda_i < \lambda\}.$$

We see that j = N(d).



▶ **Theorem.** The metric g_0 induced on N by minimal immersion $N \subset \mathbb{S}^n$ is an extremal metric for the functional $\Lambda_{N(d)}(N,g)$.

Method of finding extremal metrics

- ▶ Find a minimally immersed surface Σ in a unit sphere
- ▶ Find *N*(2)
- ▶ Then the induced metric on Σ is extremal for $\Lambda_{N(2)}$

Limits of this method

- Minimal surfaces in a unit sphere is a classical problem of differential geometry and there exists vast literature on this subject. Unfortunately this theory lacks explicit examples.
- Moreover, in general it is not clear how to find N(2). However, Penskoi suggested an approach based on the fact that if the induced metric has large isometry group the problem can be reduced to a family of ODEs.
- Such minimal surfaces with big isometry group can be constructed by using Hsiang-Lawson reduction theorem.

Limits of this method

- Minimal surfaces in a unit sphere is a classical problem of differential geometry and there exists vast literature on this subject. Unfortunately this theory lacks explicit examples.
- Moreover, in general it is not clear how to find N(2). However, Penskoi suggested an approach based on the fact that if the induced metric has large isometry group the problem can be reduced to a family of ODEs.
- Such minimal surfaces with big isometry group can be constructed by using Hsiang-Lawson reduction theorem

Limits of this method

- Minimal surfaces in a unit sphere is a classical problem of differential geometry and there exists vast literature on this subject. Unfortunately this theory lacks explicit examples.
- Moreover, in general it is not clear how to find N(2). However, Penskoi suggested an approach based on the fact that if the induced metric has large isometry group the problem can be reduced to a family of ODEs.
- Such minimal surfaces with big isometry group can be constructed by using Hsiang-Lawson reduction theorem.

- Let M be a Riemannian manifold with a metric g' and G be a compact group effictively acting on M by isometries. Let us denote by π the natural projection onto the space of orbits π : M → M/G.
- ► The union M* of all orbits of principal type is an open dense submanifold of M. The subset M*/G of M/G is a manifold carrying a natural Riemannian structure g induced from the metric g' on M.

- ▶ Let $f: N \longrightarrow M^*$ be a *G*-invariant submanifold, i.e. *G* acts on *N* and *f* commutes with the actions of *G* on *N* and M^* .
- A cohomogeneity of a G-invariant submanifold f: N → M* in M* is the integer dim N − ν, where dim N is the dimension of N and ν is the common dimension of the principal orbits.
- Let us define a volume function $V: M^*/G \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$: if $x \in M^*/G$ then $V(x) = Vol(\pi^{-1}(x))$
- Let us define for each integer $k \ge 1$ a metric $g_k = V^{\frac{2}{k}}g$.

- ▶ Let $f: N \longrightarrow M^*$ be a *G*-invariant submanifold, i.e. *G* acts on *N* and *f* commutes with the actions of *G* on *N* and M^* .
- A cohomogeneity of a G-invariant submanifold f: N → M* in M* is the integer dim N − ν, where dim N is the dimension of N and ν is the common dimension of the principal orbits.
- ▶ Let us define a volume function $V: M^*/G \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$: if $x \in M^*/G$ then $V(x) = Vol(\pi^{-1}(x))$
- ▶ Let us define for each integer $k \ge 1$ a metric $g_k = V^{\frac{2}{k}}g$.

- ▶ Theorem (Hsiang-Lawson). Let $f: N \longrightarrow M$ be a G-invariant submanifold of cohomogeneity k, and let M/G be endowed with the metric g_k . Then $f: N \longrightarrow M$ is minimal is and only if $\bar{f}: N^*/G \longrightarrow M^*/G$ is minimal.
- ▶ Corollary. If $M = \mathbb{S}^n$, $G = \mathbb{S}^1$ and $\tilde{N} \subset M^*/G$ is a closed geodesic w.r.t. the metric g_1 then $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{N})$ is a minimal torus in \mathbb{S}^n .

Bipolar Lawson τ -surfaces.

H. Lapointe, Spectral properties of bipolar minimal surfaces in \mathbb{S}^4 . Differential Geom. Appl. 26 (2008), 9-22.

- ▶ $\Lambda_i(\mathbb{T}^2, g), \Lambda_i(\mathbb{K}, g)$. Let $r, k \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < k < r, (r, k) = 1$. Lapointe studied bipolar surfaces $\tilde{\tau}_{r,k}$ of Lawson τ -surfaces $\tau_{r,k}$ and proved the following result
 - If $rk \equiv 0 \mod 2$ then $\tilde{\tau}_{r,k}$ is a torus and it carries an extremal metric for $\Lambda_{4r-2}(\mathbb{T}^2, g)$.
 - ▶ If $rk \equiv 1 \mod 4$ then $\tilde{\tau}_{r,k}$ is a torus and it carries an extremal metric for $\Lambda_{2r-2}(\mathbb{T}^2, g)$.
 - ▶ If $rk \equiv 3 \mod 4$ then $\tilde{\tau}_{r,k}$ is a Klein bottle and it carries an extremal metric for $\Lambda_{r-2}(\mathbb{K},g)$.



Lawson τ -surfaces

A. V. Penskoi, Extremal spectral properties of Lawson tau-surfaces and the Lamé equation. Moscow Math. J. 12 (2012), 173-192.

Let $\tau_{m,k}$ be a Lawson τ -surface. We can assume that (m,k)=1. Penskoi proved that the induced metric on $\tau_{m,k}$ is an extremal metric for the functional $\Lambda_i(M,g)$, where

$$j=2\left\lceil\frac{\sqrt{m^2+k^2}}{2}\right\rceil+m+k-1,$$

 $M = \mathbb{K}$ if mk is even and $M = \mathbb{T}^2$ if mk is odd. The corresponding value of the functional is

$$\Lambda_j(au_{m,k}) = 8\pi m E\left(rac{\sqrt{m^2-k^2}}{m}
ight).$$

Otsuki tori

A. V. Penskoi, Extremal spectral properties of Otsuki tori. Math. Nachr. 286 (2013), 379-391

Otsuki tori $O_{\frac{p}{q}}\subset \mathbb{S}^3\subset \mathbb{C}^2$ are obtained by applying Hsiang-Lawson theorem to an action

$$\alpha \cdot (\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{e}^{i\alpha} \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}).$$

They are parametrized by a rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ such that $\frac{1}{2} < \frac{p}{q} < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$. Penskoi proved that $O_{\frac{p}{q}}$ carries an extremal metric for the functional $\Lambda_{2p-1}(\mathbb{T}^2,g)$.

Bipolar Otsuki tori

M.Karpukhin, Spectral properties of bipolar surfaces to Otsuki tori, to appear in Journal of Spectral Theory.

The metric on a bipolar Otsuki torus $\tilde{O}_{\frac{p}{q}} \subset \mathbb{S}^4$ is extremal for the functional $\Lambda_{2q+4p-2}(\mathbb{T}^2,g)$ for odd q and $\Lambda_{q+2p-2}(\mathbb{T}^2,g)$ for even q.

Details of the proof

The proof consists of two parts

First, we realise $\tilde{O}_{\frac{p}{q}}$ as a part of Hsiang-Lawson's construction for the action

$$e^{i\alpha}(z, w, t) = (e^{i\alpha}z, e^{i\alpha}w, t)$$

on
$$\mathbb{S}^4 \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$$
.

► Then we reduce the problem to a family of ODE, where the essential role is played by elliptic integrals technique.

Tori $M_{m,n}$

M. Karpukhin, Spectral properties of a family of minimal tori of revolution in five-dimensional sphere, Preprint arXiv:1301.2483

The metric on torus $M_{m,n}$ is given by

$$(\sqrt{\frac{m+n}{2m+n}}e^{imy}\sin x, \sqrt{\frac{m+n}{m+2n}}e^{iny}\cos x,$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{n\cos^2 x}{m+2n}} + \frac{m\sin^2 x}{2m+n}e^{-i(m+n)y}).$$

This formula first appeared in the work of A. E. Mironov on ML-submanifolds in \mathbb{C}^n but the tori itself were previously described in conformal coordinates by Haskins and Joyce.

Tori $M_{m,n}$

The metric on this tori are extremal for the functional

- ▶ $\Lambda_{2m+2n-3}(\mathbb{T}^2, g)$ if mn is odd;
- ▶ $\Lambda_{4m+4n-3}(\mathbb{T}^2, g)$ if mn is even.

Details of the proof. Here we simplify and modify the approach of Penskoi on Lawson τ -surfaces. Still the analysis of the corresponding family of ODEs relies on the relation to the classical Lamé equation.

Tori $M_{m,n}$

The metric on this tori are extremal for the functional

- ► $\Lambda_{2m+2n-3}(\mathbb{T}^2, g)$ if mn is odd;
- ▶ $\Lambda_{4m+4n-3}(\mathbb{T}^2, g)$ if mn is even.

Details of the proof. Here we simplify and modify the approach of Penskoi on Lawson τ -surfaces. Still the analysis of the corresponding family of ODEs relies on the relation to the classical Lamé equation.

Non-maximality of these metrics.

M. Karpukhin, On maximality of known extremal metrics on torus and Klein bottle, to appear in Sbornik Mathematics.

- ► Turns out that all of the described metrics are not maximal for the corresponding functionals.
- The proof relies on the following result of Colbois and El Soufi,

$$\sup \Lambda_i(M,g) - \sup \Lambda_{i-1}(M,g) \geqslant 8\pi.$$

► The main difficulty is the fact that the actual value of $\Lambda_i(M,g)$ in most cases is given by implicit highly trancendental functions.



Non-maximality of these metrics.

M. Karpukhin, On maximality of known extremal metrics on torus and Klein bottle, to appear in Sbornik Mathematics.

- ► Turns out that all of the described metrics are not maximal for the corresponding functionals.
- The proof relies on the following result of Colbois and El Soufi.

$$\sup \Lambda_i(M,g) - \sup \Lambda_{i-1}(M,g) \geqslant 8\pi.$$

► The main difficulty is the fact that the actual value of $\Lambda_i(M,g)$ in most cases is given by implicit highly trancendental functions.



- Suggested and developed new method of finding extremal metrics. This method is used to provide new examples.
- Maximality of all known extremal metrics is investigated.
- Outlook
 - ▶ We still do not know any extremal metrics for the functional $\Lambda_2(\mathbb{T}^2, g)$. It is interesting to know whether such a metric even exists.
 - ▶ The same question for the functionals $\Lambda_{2k}(\mathbb{K}, g)$.